

JOHN M. RUNFOLA SBN 96058
Attorney at Law
Pier 9, Suite 100
San Francisco, California 94101
Telephone: (415) 391-4243
Facsimile: (415) 391-5161

Attorney for Defendant
MIMI WANG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR No(s).CR 11-0804 MMC
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
MIMI WANG,)	ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE
Defendant.)	

Since the last calling of the case, the parties have engaged in disposition discussions regarding Ms. Wang's case. This continuance is sought by counsel for the defense in order to provide additional time for effective preparation of counsel. Counsel for the defense anticipates reaching a resolution of Ms. Wang's case in the next few weeks. To preserve the Court's time, and to avoid the dislocation for the defendant that travel to Court entails, the parties propose moving the hearing date. Therefore, the parties respectfully request that the Court move the next hearing from January 18, 2012 to February 1, 2012.

No party objects to the requested continuance.

The parties request that the period from January 18, 2012 through February 1, 2012 also

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE

DATED: January 17, 2012

/s/

JOHN RUNFOLA
~~Attorney for CARL PITTS~~

DATED: January 17, 2012

/s/

DAMALI TAYLOR
Assistant United States Attorney

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds good cause to change the date for the next hearing from January 18, 2012 to February 1, 2012. The Court also finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from January 18, 2012 through February 1, 2012 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would deny counsel for the defendant and for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE

1 §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

2
3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5 DATED: January 17, 2012

6 
7 THE HONORABLE JUDGE MAXINE CHESNEY
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE